

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS  
WESTERN DISTRICT**

---

COMPLETE TITLE OF CASE:

JILL SUZANNE HECKMAN,

Respondent

v.

DANIEL JAMES HECKMAN.

Appellant

---

DOCKET NUMBER WD75676

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS  
WESTERN DISTRICT**

DATE: OCTOBER 15, 2013

---

Appeal From:

Circuit Court of Platte County, MO  
The Honorable Dennis C. Eckold, Judge

---

Appellate Judges:

Division One  
Joseph M. Ellis, P.J., Cynthia L. Martin, and Anthony Rex Gabbert, JJ.

---

Attorneys:

Edwin Smith, Keith Hicklin, Jennifer Fain, Sharon Kennedy, Counsel for Appellant,

---

Attorneys:

Tammy Glick, Attorney for Respondent

---

**MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY  
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT**

**JILL SUZANNE HECKMAN, Respondent, v.  
DANIEL JAMES HECKMAN, Appellant**

**WD75676**

**Platte County**

Before Division One Judges: Joseph M. Ellis, P.J., Cynthia L. Martin, and Anthony Rex Gabbert, JJ.

Daniel James Heckman, II appeals the circuit court’s judgment modifying child support. Father argues that the circuit court erred: (1) in determining the presumed child support amount (PCSA) by miscalculating his and Jill Suzanne Heckman’s monthly gross income and using the incorrect overnight visitation adjustment percentage; and (2) in improperly rebutting the PCSA as unjust and inappropriate by using factors that were already used in Form 14 to calculate the PCSA.

**AFFIRM IN PART; REVERSE AND REMAND IN PART.**

**Division One holds:**

The court did not err by including as income Father’s “restricted stock,” Father’s “exercise of restricted stock,” and Father’s “exercise of stock options.” The court did err by including Father’s one-time “Above and Beyond” bonus when calculating Father’s gross income. Further, as conceded by the parties, the court erred in calculating Mother’s gross income, erred in using 10% for the overnight visitation or custody adjustment percentage when it should have used 34% as reflected in its judgment, and erred in using extraordinary child-rearing costs to rebut the PCSA as unjust and inappropriate. The circuit court’s judgment is reversed and remanded for an appropriate child support determination, and to determine whether an award of attorney’s fees and costs incurred on appeal is appropriate, and if so, in what amount.

Opinion by Anthony Rex Gabbert, Judge

Date: October 15, 2013

\*\*\*\*\*

<b>THIS SUMMARY IS UNOFFICIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED</b>
---